# Can technology choice make your data SPARCL?





#### agilexbiolabs.com



# 01

An introduction to the background

literature that inspired this work Tocilizumab Case Studies: Critical Reagents and Methods.

02

## 03

Data, Data, Data!

## 04

Lessons learned and the future perspective.



# 01

An introduction to the background literature that inspired this work **02** Tocilizumab Case Studies: Critical Reagents and Methods 03

Data, Data, Data!

## 04

Lessons learned and the future perspective.



# 01

02

An introduction to the background literature that inspired this work Tocilizumab Case Studies: Critical Reagents and Methods 03

Data, Data, Data!

# 04

Lessons learned and the future perspective.



# 01

02

An introduction to the background literature that inspired this work

#### Tocilizumab Case Studies: Critical Reagents and Methods

03

Data, Data, Data!

# 04

Lessons learned and the future perspective.



## 01

An introduction to the background literature that inspired this work



#### **01 - BACKGROUND**



#### **01 - BACKGROUND**

For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@future-science.com

### Evaluation of homogeneous proximity immunoassays for preclinical bioanalysis

Padmanabhan Eangoor\*.<sup>1</sup>, Sharmistha Das<sup>1</sup> & Vincenzo Pucci<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics & Drug Metabolism, Merck & Co., 33 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Boston, MA 02115, USA \*Author for correspondence: El.+1 el 617 992 3270; padmanabhan.eanqoor@merck.com

#### **Information:**

MSD, Gyrolab®, HTRF, AlphaLISA®, and SPARCL™ technologies included.

Evaluation of IgG, IL-8 and Insulin assays.

| Parameter           | MSD  | Gyrolab® | SPARCL™ |
|---------------------|------|----------|---------|
| Assay Time<br>(Hrs) | 4-6  | 1-2      | 1       |
| Sensitivity         | ++++ | ++++     | +++     |
| Dynamic<br>Range    | 3-4  | 3-4      | 3-4     |



Table modified from: Eangoor, P., Das, S., Pucci, V. (2020). Evaluation of homogeneous proximity immunoassays for preclinical bioanalysis. Bioanalysis, 12(24), 1757-1766. DOI: 10.4155/bio-2020-0258.

Bioanalysis

#### **01 - BACKGROUND**

For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@future-science.com

### Evaluation of homogeneous proximity immunoassays for preclinical bioanalysis

Padmanabhan Eangoor\*.<sup>10</sup>, Sharmistha Das<sup>1</sup> & Vincenzo Pucci<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics & Drug Metabolism, Merck & Co., 33 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Boston, MA 02115, USA \*Author for correspondence: Tel.: +1 617 992 3270; padmanabhan.eangoor@merck.com

#### The opportunity:

Assessment of the MSD, Gyrolab, and SPARCL platforms.

Utilising the same reference material and critical reagents such as capture and detection antibodies.



Table modified from: Eangoor, P., Das, S., Pucci, V. (2020). Evaluation of homogeneous proximity immunoassays for preclinical bioanalysis. Bioanalysis, 12(24), 1757-1766. DOI: 10.4155/bio-2020-0258.

Bioanalysis

## 02

Tocilizumab Case Studies: Critical Reagents and Methods



















MSD: SulfoTag™

Gyrolab: Biotin & Alexafluor

SPARCL: HRP & Acridan









MSD: SulfoTag™

Gyrolab: Biotin & Alexafluor

SPARCL: HRP & Acridan

- Bridging ELISA
- Varying labels for capture and detection reagent
- Tocilizumab was US drug with varying lot numbers











ge: MesoScale Discovery. (2023). How does electrochemiluminescence work? [Digital image]. Mesoscale.com. Retrieved fro ps://www.mesoscale.com/en/technical\_resources/our\_technology/ecl





age: Gyros Protein Technologies. (2023). One microstructure – One data point – No cross talk [Digital image]. Gyrosproteintechnologies.co trieved from <u>https://www.gyrosproteintechnologies.com/immunoassays/gyrolab-cds-nanoliter-precision</u> GYROLAB

#### **SPARCL**







Image: Lumigen. (2022). Figure 2: A simplified mechanistic scheme of SPARCL technology [Digital image]. Lumigen.com. Retrieved from http://www.lumigen.com/products/elisa/lumigen-sparcl

QUALITY. INNOVATION. INTEGRITY.

**03** Data, Data, Data!



### **RANGE AND SENSITIVITY**







Data normalised to signal to noise due to differing units. MSD: RLU

- Gyrolab: RFU SPARCL: RLU(AUC)



Range (LLOQ-ULOQ): MSD: 1.50 – 3,500 ng/mL Gyrolab: 15.0 – 20,000 ng/mL SPARCL: 400 – 7,500 ng/mL



#### **INTER-ASSAY A&P**



#### **INTER-ASSAY A&P**





### **PROZONE/DILUTIONAL LINEARITY ASSESSMENT**







Data normalised to signal to noise due to differing units. MSD: RLU



Gyrolab: RFU SPARCL: RLU(AUC)



Prozone Observed: MSD: No Gyrolab: No SPARCL: Yes, >7500 ng/mL



### **PROZONE/DILUTIONAL LINEARITY ASSESSMENT**







Data normalised to signal to noise due to differing units.



Gyrolab: RFU SPARCL: RLU(AUC)



Dilutional Linearity was observed in all assays. MSD: 1 in 400

**Gyrolab:** 1 in 1000 **SPARCL:** 1 in 1250



#### SELECTIVITY



Individual serum samples tested blank and at LLOQ.

No Individuals tested:

- MSD: 16
- Gyrolab: 10
- SPARCL: 14

| Level | MSD  | Gyrolab® | SPARCL™ |
|-------|------|----------|---------|
| Blank | 100% | 100%     | 100%    |
| LLOQ  | 94%  | 100%     | 93%     |



## 04

# Lessons learned and the future perspective.



| Parameter               | MSD         | Gyrolab       | SPARCL     |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| Range (ng/mL)           | 1.50 – 3500 | 15.0 - 20,000 | 400 – 7500 |
| MRD                     | 1 in 10     | 1 in 10       | 1 in 10    |
| A&P                     | Pass        | Pass          | Pass       |
| Prozone                 | No          | No            | Extreme    |
| Dilutional<br>Linearity | 1 in 400    | 1 in 1000     | 1 in 1250  |
| Selectivity             | Pass        | Pass          | Pass       |
|                         |             |               |            |

ш R 0 L 



Ζ

0

**M** 

П

| Parameter                       | MSD     | Gyrolab | SPARCL |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--|--|
| Run Time                        | 5 Hours | 2 Hours | 1 Hour |  |  |
| No. Samples /<br>Plate          | 32      | 40      | 32     |  |  |
| No. Plates / Day                | 3       | 5*      | 12     |  |  |
| Total Samples /<br>Day**        | 96      | 200     | 384    |  |  |
| *Utilising Gyrolab Xplore Model |         |         |        |  |  |
| **Single Analyst                |         |         |        |  |  |

Ш TIONA R E  $\mathbf{O}$ D Ū 4 2 L 

1

Ш

 $\diamond$ 





Future SPARCL PK work:

- Re-optimisation of master mix reagents and concentrations
- Re-optimisation of background reducing agent.

Future considerations:

- SPARCL technology and qualitative assays (ADA)
- Impact of automation on throughput.



## Technology choice matters...

- MSD gives best sensitivity
- Gyrolab gives best dynamic range and least impact from matrix effects
- SPARCL gives the highest throughput but was restricted by prozone effect.

## ... but it must be matched with the critical reagents

• Critical reagent key quality attributes must be matched with the technology of interest to ensure the most appropriately designed assay.



MORGAN.EVANS@AGILEXBIOLABS.COM

## Any questions?

