Oligonucleotide bioanalytical method development - triple quadrupole and high-resolution mass spectrometric detection - the benefits and challenges of selecting the technology

Daniel Schulz-Jander, Ph.D.

Oligonucleotides Quantitation by LC-HRMS or LC-MS/MS IND/CTA-ENABLING STUDIES FOR OLIGONUCLEOTIDES: ALL NEED BIOANALYTICAL DATA

- The physicochemical properties of oligonucleotide therapeutics (ASO, miRNA, siRNA, nucleic acid aptamers, and antibody-oligonucleotide conjugates) make quantitation of these compounds in biological matrices very challenging.
- Different quantitative approaches have been used, such as hELISA, hHPLCfluorescence, HPLC-UV, hUPLC-MS/MS, UPLC-MS/MS, and UPLC-HRMS.
- This presentation will discuss the bioanalytical differences between LC-MS/MS and LC-HRMS for this modality.

- Participant to understand
 - the chromatographic conditions to separate oligonucleotide drugs and their metabolites.
 - the differences between MS/MS format or HRMS format for oligonucleotides quantitation.
 - the 'working' mass resolution requires to quantitate oligonucleotides in HRMS.



- In vitro
 - Metabolism
 - Metabolic Stability / Clearance
 - Metabolite Profiling / Identification
 - Reaction Phenotyping
 - Plasma Protein Binding
 - Drug-Drug Interactions
 - Up / down regulation of drug metabolizing enzymes; Cellular Uptake/Distribution
 - CYP450 inhibition
 - CYP450 induction
 - Drug Transporters

In vivo

- PK / PD / Biodistribution Studies
 - Rat Single and/or Multiple Dose
 - NHP Single and/or Multiple Dose
- General Toxicity Studies
 - Rat DRF & TK
 - Rat Definitive Toxicity & TK (4 weeks or 13 weeks)
 - NHP DRF & TK
 - NHP Definitive Toxicity & TK (4 weeks or 13 weeks)
- Genetic Tox / Safety Pharma Studies
 - Rat Micronucleus & TK
 - NHP CV & TK
- Radiolabel ADME Studies
 - Mass Balance / Excretion
 - QWBA
 - Metabolite Profiling/ID (plasma/tissue)



IND/CTA-ENABLING STUDIES FOR OLIGONUCLEOTIDES: ALL NEED BIOANALYTICAL DATA

Bioanalysis

- Modifications (thiophosphorolate, PMO, cholesterol, GalNAc, lipids, ...)
- Species
 - Mice, rat, NHP, mini-pig, rabbit, human
- Matrices
 - Hepatocytes, cellular fractions
 - Plasma, Excreta (urine, cage wash, bile, feces)
 - Tissues (liver, kidney, adrenal, thymus, thyroid, brain, CSF, lung, heart, intestine, pancreas, spleen, testes, ovaries, ...)

Criteria

- GLP: plasma and 'critical' tissues
- Non-GLP: urine for excretion; tissues for biodistribution

- Chromatography vs. Ligand Binding
 - Perception
 - hLBA, hLC-FLD or hLC-MS more sensitive
 - LC-Mass Spec more specific
 - Reality
 - Mostly based on historical data & 'comfort'
 - Whatever works, driven by
 - Potential non-specific binding
 - Metabolism
 - Nucleases (exo/endo) vs. oxidative deamination vs. glycosidases
 - Sensitivity
 - Tissue concentration usually high
 - Transferability from plasma to excreta and tissues
 - LC-MS challenges: Formation of cation adducts can severely reduce the signal of the ion of interest and decrease the sensitivity of the assay

- Immuno pulldown (with antibody to protein/antibody)
 - Protease digestion
 - Quantitation of signature peptide reflective of the 'total' Protein/Antibody
 - Typical reverse phase workflow
 - Quantitation of *oligonucleotides* reflective of the original POC
 - Typical ion-exchange / ion-pairing workflow
- Immuno pulldown (with complementary strand/antibody to oligonucleotides)
 - Protease digestion
 - Quantitation of *oligonucleotides* reflective of the original POC and 'free' oligonucleotides
 - Typical ion-exchange / ion-pairing workflow





ASO (from an AOC) Quantitation by LC-MS/MS

EXAMPLE: TIC OF ANTI-SENSE STRAND & STAND CURVE IN HUMAN URINE (LLOQ @ 2 NG/ML)



ASO (from an AOC) Quantitation by LC-MS/MS

EXAMPLE: BACK-CALCULATED CONCENTRATIONS (NG/ML) & INTRADAY PRECISIONS & ACCURACY

Run Date	Run No.	2.00	4.00	20.0	60.0	200	600	1800	2000
XX-XXX- XXXX	2ª	2.02	3.76	20.8	62.1	201	625	1860	2110
		2.06	3.96	19.5	54.6	198	593	1790	1940
XX-XXX- XXXX	3ª	2.13	3.24	23.9	53.0	235	526	1580	1800
		2.15	3.28	25.5	56.8	250	586	1640	1930
XX-XXX- XXXX	4	2.04	4.07	19.0	57.8	196	587	1800	1980
		1.93	4.11	20.3	58.5	202	603	1900	2100
XX-XXX- XXXX	6	1.86	3.79	19.6	59.9	192	588	1720	2000
		2.14	4.13	22.0	64.9	199	563	1860	2000
XX-XXX- XXXX	7	1.93	3.58	19.1	60.8	207	597	1800	1900
		2.14	4.16	20.8	59.8	200	611	1840	2010
Mean		2.01	3.97	20.1	60.3	199	592	1820	2000
S.D.		0.118	0.235	1.15	2.50	5.13	16.7	62.0	64.0
%CV		5.9	5.9	5.7	4.1	2.6	2.8	3.4	3.2
%RE		0.5	-0.8	0.5	0.5	-0.5	-1.3	1.1	0.0
n		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

Pup Date	Pup No	2.00	6.00	80.0	800	1600
Run Date	ixuil NO.	(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	(ng/mL)
xx-xxx-xxxx	4	2.03	5.71	73.5	775	1530
		1.81	5.68	78.4	770	1520
		2.34	5.75	73.8	771	1490
		1.83	5.67	84.7	744	1550
		1.76	5.45	74.5	775	1560
		2.08	5.54	75.8	741	1510
Intra-run Mean		1.98	5.63	76.8	763	1530
S.D.		0.220	0.114	4.27	15.8	25.8
%CV		11.1	2.0	5.6	2.1	1.7
%RE		-1.0	-6.2	-4.0	-4.6	-4.4
n		6	6	6	6	6

^a Rejected run, not included in statistical calculations

Note: No regression performed in Runs 1 and 5

siRNA Quantitation by LC-QToF-MS

EXAMPLE: OLIGONUCLEOTIDES NEGATIVE ESI MASS SPECTRUM SHOWING AS³⁻ (PARENT, N-1, N-2)



siRNA Quantitation by LC-QToF-MS

EXAMPLE: TIC AND CORRESPONDING MASS SPECTRA OF ANTI-SENSE, AS (N-1), AND SENSE STRANDS IN HUMAN PLASMA











© 2023 QPS. Confidential. All rights reserved.

siRNA Quantitation by LC-QToF-MS

EXAMPLE: STANDARD CURVES OF ANTI-SENSE, AS (N-1), AS (N-2), AND SENSE STRANDS IN HUMAN PLASMA (LLOQ @ 5 NG/ML AND 1 NG/ML)

Run Date	Run ID	A	В	с	R-Squared	Regression Footnote(s)
Day 1	36	-0.00000006	0.003819670	-0.011560698	0.9951	1
Day 2	30	-0.00000012	0.004052494	-0.009053454	0.9966	1
Day 3	34	-0.00000014	0.003581962	-0.002954172	0.9969	1
Day 4	37	-0.00000030	0.004465860	0.000321158	0.9924	1
Day 5	38	-0.00000016	0.003670701	-0.004392901	0.9926	1
Quadratic Regression with 1/x ² Weighting						
Regression Footnote(s):						
1) Resp. = A * (Conc. **2) + B * Conc. + C						

Run Date	Run ID	A	В	с	R-Squared	Regression Footnote(s)
Day 1	36	-0.00000104	0.010317655	-0.005277962	0.9922	1
Day 2	30	-0.00000044	0.009893031	-0.003624263	0.9963	1
Day 3	34	-0.00000208	0.010450719	-0.002749375	0.9956	1
Day 4	37	-0.00000165	0.007991487	0.004228573	0.9935	1
Day 5	38	-0.00000313	0.012476897	-0.002909850	0.9922	1
Quadratic Regression with 1/x ² Weighting						
Regression Footnote(s):						
1) Resp. = A * (Conc.**2) + B * Conc. + C						

Run	0	A	в	C	R-Squared	Regressio	
Date	Kunio					Footnote	
Day 1	36	-0.00000157	0.012459182	-0.007111476	0.9933	1	
Day 2	30	-0.00000157	0.011743405	-0.004886467	0.9912	1	
Day 3	34	-0.00000395	0.012705437	-0.002720330	0.9959	1	
Day 4	37	-0.00000329	0.011105167	-0.002518838	0.9942	1	
Day 5	38	-0.00000415	0.014417882	-0.005261136	0.9948	1	
uadratic Regression with 1/x ² Weighting							
egression Footnote(s):							
Resp. = A * (Conc.**2) + B * Conc. + C							

Run Date	Run ID	A	В	с	R-Squared	Regression Footnote(s)
Day 1	36	-0.00000014	0.004085952	-0.007317355	0.9919	1
Day 2	30	-0.00000025	0.004474451	-0.003289805	0.9950	1
Day 3	34	-0.0000025	0.003762272	-0.003002574	0.9934	1
Day 4	37	-0.00000042	0.007331024	0.021793958	0.9923	1
Day 5	38	-0.00000159	0.004356572	-0.004794018	0.9964	1
Quadratic Regression with 1/x ² Weighting						
Regression Footnote(s):						
1) Resp. = A * (Conc.**2) + B * Conc. + C						





Oligonucleotides Quantitation by LC-HRMS

PLASMA CONCENTRATION IN NHP FOLLOWING SC ADMINISTRATION OF 30, 100, AND 300 MG/KG DOSE





Oligonucleotides Quantitation by LC-HRMS

MEAN LIVER CONCENTRATION IN NHP FOLLOWING SC ADMINISTRATION OF 10 MG/KG DOSE



© 2023 QPS. Confidential. All rights reserved.

Oligonucleotides Quantitation by LC-HRMS

MEAN PLASMA CONCENTRATION IN NHP SHOWING REPRODUCIBILITY OVER A PERIOD OF 3 YEARS



PROCEDURE: LC-MS (HRMS OR MS/MS) METHOD

- Calibration Curve
 - Standalone curve *vs.* Standalone curve: depends on the purpose and matrix availability
 - Part of method development process; whatever matrix that provide the best surrogate curve
- Sample Preparation
 - Feces and tissues need homogenization followed by lysis
 - Extraction: Recovery: $\geq 70\% \leftrightarrow \leq 95\%$
- Chromatography
 - Run-time usually ≤5 min injection-to-injection
 - Column
 - C18 2.1 mm x 50 mm 1.7 μm 130Å fully porous
 - Column life: 300 400 injections
 - 300Å for specific applications, e.g., AOC / POC

- Mass Spectrometry
 - IS: Analogue or Stable Isotopically Labeled
 - Analyte AS & S & analogue AS & S
 - Cation adducts:
 - $H_n Na_0 K_0 \rightarrow H_{n-1} Na_1 K_0$; $H_{n-1} Na_0 K_1 \rightarrow H_{n-2} Na_2 K_0$; $H_{n-2} Na_1 K_1$; $H_{n-2} Na_0 K_2 \rightarrow \dots$
 - Analogue IS: Potential overlapping isotopic mass
 - SIL-IS: expensive, control isotopic overlap?
 - Mass Resolution (Theoretic vs. Operating)
 - Triple Quad (unit resolution)
 - QTOF (uniform resolution; ~40K) vs. Ion trap (mass dependent; ≥120K @ 200 amu)
 - UPLC peak width @ 3-6 seconds; with 12+ data-point operating resolution @~35K



PROCEDURE: LC-MS (HRMS OR MS/MS) METHOD

- Tripe Quadrupoles vs. QTOF
 - -ve ion mode
 - Assay range: 3 order
 - LC-MS/MS (similar to peptides MS/MS)
 - Q1 (@ higher charge envelope, e.g., M^{9-}) \rightarrow Q3 (@ lower charge envelope, mostly <400 m/z)
 - Full scan LC-HRMS
 - Quan and Qual
 - Sum multiple isotopic mass @ lower charge state, e.g., M⁴⁻ and M³⁻
 - Data Intensity: two 96-well plates: 2MB vs. 3 GB
 - LLOQ:
 - $\leq 2 ng/mL (QqQ) vs. \leq 5 ng/mL (HRMS)$
 - Stoichiometry: nM double strand ~ single strand
 - Stoichiometry: ng/mL double strand ≠ single strand
 - 100μL sample volume, 100μL recon, 3μL 5μL injection
 - Sub-1 ng/mL (if higher sample volume, lower recon, higher volume injection)

- Why choose Tripe Quadrupoles
 - Well known/established metabolites (per MIST guidance)
 - Analogue or SIL IS has little or no to cross-talk
 - Desired LLOQ @ sub-1 ng/mL
- Why choose QTOF
 - No metabolism data available
 - Analogue or SIL IS potential cross-talk
 - Desired LLOQ @ 1 ng/mL
- Preliminary experiment
 - · Well-established historical metabolism data
 - *In vitro* metabolism (simple 'well-stirred' hepatic model *vs.* more complexed long-term co-culture model)
 - Confirm in vivo rat/NHP vs. in vitro metabolism data



siRNA Quantitation by LC-QToF-MS: Power of LC-HRMS

EXAMPLE: RECONSTRUCTED XIC OF SIRNA IN PLASMA SAMPLES



siRNA Quantitation by LC-QToF-MS: Power of LC-HRMS

EXAMPLE: RECONSTRUCTED XIC OF SIRNA IN LIVER SAMPLES (METABOLISM VIA OXIDATIVE DEAMINATION)



- QPS Delaware, USA
 - Amelie Chen
 - Diane Grotz
 - Haotong Chen
 - Helen Shen
 - Imrana Salia
 - Jay Su
 - Jiyi Wang
 - Lakshmi Ramanathan
 - Lan Li
 - Lata Venkatarangan
 - Rick Hamler
 - Tim Snow
 - Vicki North
 - Yongdong Zhu
 - Zamas Lam
 - Zhihua Yang

- ▶ QPS Groningen, Netherlands
 - Andrea Fabara Carranco
 - Baubek Spanov
 - Benjamin Steenge
 - Eric van de Horst
 - Francisco Bonardi