

High-throughput LC-MS/MS method for therapeutic oligonucleotides

Method for supporting pre-clinical studies

Zoltán Timár PhD Director of Bioanalytical Laboratories





OLIGONUCLEOTIDE DRUGS APPROVED



Duchenne muscular dystrophy



Duchenne muscular dystrophy



Duchenne muscular dystrophy



CMV infection



Familial chylomicronemia



TTR polyneuropathy



Familial hypercholesterolemia



Spinal muscular atrophy



Acute hepatic porphyria



TTR Polyneuropathy



Batten disease



Primary hyperoxaluria type 1



HEPLISAV-B[®] [Hepatitis B Vaccine (Recombinant) Adjuvanted]

CpG 1018 Hepatitis B vaccine



ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY AT CRL

Consistent and global experience across multiple modalities





HIGH-THROUGHPUT QUANTIFICATION OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR PRECLINICAL STUDIES

Transporter substrate assays

- Working Range requirement: 0.1-1,000 nM
- Matrices: HBSS, KH pH 7.4, cell extracts
- Sample number per study: <1000

Need for speed

- Low cost
- Rapid turnaround time
- Method development: Fit-For-Purpose Method Validation: in 1-2 days
- Sample analysis and report: in 1-2 days





Can or cannot I have the best of them all?

OLIGO THERAPEUTICS - TWO PRIMARY ASSAY TYPES

Both Play a Role in Oligo Development Programs

Hybridization ELISA	LC-MS
Expensive custom probes required	Internal standard required
(capture, detector, cutting, etc. at \$2000 - \$10,000 each)	(off-the-shelf oligo may be used, \$500)
Moderate selectivity	Excellent selectivity
Assay reagents typically cross-react with catabolites /	Identify exact species measured and can monitor catabolites /
shortmers	shortmers
Throughput slightly less than average ELISA because of increased # of assay steps	Throughput average to slightly < average if multiple extractions required
No instrument issues	Harsh instrument conditions results in extra cleaning and downtime
Superior sensitivity	Less sensitive
0.05 to 2 ng/mL LLOQ in plasma	1 to 100 ng/mL LLOQ in plasma
Smaller dynamic range	Larger dynamic range
(2+ order of magnitude)	(3+ order of magnitude)
Minimal variation in assay procedure once format selected for	Minimal variation in sample extractions and LC conditions from
a given drug platform, but skill of analysts very important	analyte-to-analyte results in quick method development





Can or cannot I have the best of them all?

TYPICAL SENSITIVITY OF HYBRIDIZATION ASSAYS

	Format								
Biological Matrix	Competitive Hybridization		Hybridization Ligation	Nuclease- Dependent Cutting ELISA					
Plasma (μL sample)	10 to 100 ng/mL (10 μL)	10 to 100 pg/mL (10-100 μL)	0.2 to 2 ng/mL (100-200 μL)	0.5 to 3 ng/mL (25-100 μL)					
Tissues with Extraction	No Data	1 to 10 ng/g	5 to 30 ng/g	15 ng/g					
Tissues without Extraction	No Data	10 ng/g	1 to 10 ng/g	15 ng/g					



LC-MS of oligos



ION-PAIRING

- TEA-HFIP
- Apolar phases
- NH₄OAc / NH₄O₂CH
- Apolar phases

RP

NH₄OAc / NH₄O₂CH

HILIC

HILIC phases

ESI-MS

• SIM or MRM



HOW TO BOOST ANALYTICAL CAPACITY?

BY HAVING MORE LC-MS

KPI		Capacity increase	<i>.</i>
Throughput	(+)	2x	
Uptime	(+)	2x	
Maintenance	(-)	2x	
Cost	(-)	2x	
Mass Spectrometer Utilization	(-)	1x	





BY HAVING HIGH THROUGHPUT LC-MS

KPI		Capacity increase
Throughput	(+)	2-16x
Mass Spectrometer Utilization	(+)	2-16x
Cost	(+)	2x
Maintenance	(+)	1x
Uptime	(-)	1x







Theoretical plate hight small if:

- 1. Small particle size
- 2. Low flow rate
- 3. Low eluent viscosity
- 4. High temperature
- 5. Small molecule

High Throughput Approach Make a choice

- Resolution: Only for critical component(s)
- Plate Number: Less important for gradient separation.
- Peak capacity: Less important for MRM detection, matrix effect?
- Retention: Desalting, control of matrix effect
- Selectivity: Only for critical analytes

100 x 2.1 mm, 3um, 1 ml/min, tg: 2.2 min



10 x 2.1 mm, 3um, 1 ml/min, tg: 0.2 min



 $N = \left(a\sqrt{\frac{1000\eta\varepsilon_T}{\underline{t_o}\Delta P}} + b\frac{1000\eta\varepsilon_T}{\delta^2\Delta P} + c\frac{\delta^2}{\underline{t_o}}\right)^{-1}$

 $n_{\mathrm{C,G}} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \sqrt{N} \cdot \frac{10}{1 + 10\frac{t_0}{1}}$

t0: 0.22 min Cycle time: 3.96 min Peak capacity ~ 126

t0: 0.02 min Cycle time: 0.36 min Peak capacity ~ 40

Cycle time: tg + 3 x t0 +5x t0

Chromatographer has to choose either high efficiency or high speed (or rely on very high selectivity).



High Throughput Approach Make a choice

100 x 2.1 mm, 3um, 1 ml/min, tg: 2.2 min

t0: 0.22 min Cycle time: 3.96 min Peak capacity ~ 126

10 x 2.1 mm, 3um, 1 ml/min, tg: 0.2 min

t0: 0.02 min Cycle time: 0.36 min Peak capacity ~ 20 (40)



HT-LC-MS/MS OF OLIGOS

- 1 HILIC-NH₄OAc
- 2 RP-NH₄OAc
- 3 TRP BUFFERS



HILIC WITH NH₄OAc

•HILIC-MS analysis of oligonucleotides (Easter 2010) without the use of ion-pair reagents (Loube/MacNeil 2019)

• Applicable to oligodeoxy(ribo)nucleotides and phosphorothioates (PS)

•Improved LC-MS sensitivity over ion-pair reverse phase methods

•Additional chromatographic developments required to match UV-based PS methods



ShodexTM Technical Article No.4; LC/MS Analysis of Oligonucleotides Using a Polymer-Based Diol Column - Shodex™ HILICpak™ VN-50 2D



Mass Spectrum (after HILIC)

(pdT)₁₅, NH₄OAc in MeCN/H₂O, Shodex HILIC





MRM Optimization

 $(pdT)_{15}$ in H_2O





HPLC GRADIENT

$(pdT)_{15}$ in H_2O





CALIBRATION SAMPLE PREPARATION

 $(pdT)_{15}$ in H₂O or buffer Instrument: iDOT non-contact liquid handler QC preparation separately Time needed: <1min/96 well







CALIBRATION

(pdT)₁₅ in H₂O, NH₄OAc in MeCN/H₂O, Shodex HILIC

The benefits of HILIC for oligos (R. MacNeil et al., Bioanalysis (2019) 11(12), 1155–1167):

- · less signal suppression and variability
- · less instrumental downtime for maintenance and cleaning
- less frequent analytical column changes
- · no need for exotic flushing processes to switch over of instrumentation for other methods
- · smoother workflows and better efficiency





HILIC CALIBRATIONS OF 12 DNA PRIMERS

18-25mers in H_2O , NH_4OAc in MeCN/ H_2O , Shodex HILIC

Target	R/F	Sequence	Mass	Charge	Transition	S/N	LL		ULOQ nM	QC 1 (99nM)	QC 1 (99nM)	QC 2 (244nM)	QC 2 (244nM)	QC3 (593nM)	QC3 (593nM)
	R		6695	-5	1337 65/1307 /0	5/11	11 0	vi 2		105 30%	10/ 10%	102 60%	10/ 70%	103 30%	102 70%
2 SVCT2 (SLC23A2) R	R		6160	-0	1538 40/1501 10		54.2	3	a anc	96.00%	92 90%	97 40%	95 10%	103.30%	98 30%
3 SVCT1 (SLC23A1) R	R	TAACCATGTGCTGGTCGTGG	6164	-4	1539 54/1501 31		56.8	3	a auc	96.00%	100 50%	98 60%	102 40%	103.40%	102 60%
4 pig Bcrp (Abcg2) R	R	CTGCTGAAACACTGGTTGGTT	6452	-5	1289 13/624 73		34.2	3	900	101 60%	98 20%	103.80%	103.00%	101.80%	105.00%
5 cvMDR1 R	R	ACAGTGTCAGTTGCCAACCA	6086	-4	1520 02/1486 30		42.9	3	9 909	109 10%	95 50%	99 20%	100.00%	101.00%	99.00%
6hOATP4C1 F	F	AAATCGAAGTCTCTGCCTTGTCCTC	7568	-5	1512.13/1074.15		31.8	3	9 909	103.50%	109.30%	101.10%	104.30%	101.00%	96.00%
7 SVCT2 (SLC23A2) F	F	GCTGCAGCCAGCTAGGTC	5501	-4	1373.59/1336.00		79.0	3	9 909	104.40%	96.90%	103.00%	103.50%	100.10%	99.80%
8 SVCT1 (SLC23A1) F	F	GGCCTTTGTCAAGTCATCCC	6044	-4	1509.53/1472.06		32.1	3	9 909	96.30%	100.20%	99.30%	99.70%	98.30%	96.40%
9 SNAT1 (SLC38A1) F	F	GCTTTGGTTAAAGAGCGGGC	6213	-5	1241.25/1211.17		15.1	3	9 909	101.00%	97.10%	107.40%	108.30%	103.00%	100.80%
10 SNAT2 (SLC38A2) F	F	AATAGAGACCACCGAGGCCG	6145	-5	1227.71/1200.69		18.8	3	909	108.70%	91.70%	100.40%	93.80%	101.40%	103.60%
11 ATB0,+ (SLC6A14) F	F	TTGGGGTGGCTTAGTTGCTC	6186	-5	1235.99/1205.56		23.7	3	9 909	97.00%	101.30%	106.60%	103.10%	95.20%	98.20%
12 ASCT1 (SLC1A4) F	F	TCTCCTCGCCTTTCTCGCAC	5931	-4	1481.29/769.90		74.2	3	909	101.80%	97.50%	106.20%	105.80%	97.20%	95.10%
			WUR												
• Matrix: $H_0 \cap$	S	PE for any other matric	20		Quant										
					Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): $y = 0.0119 x^2 + 45.7 x + -36 (r = 0.9982)$										
					Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): $y = 0.00876 x^2 + 130 x + -744 (r = 0.9984)$										
• ADDA – Sciex	650	0+ Triple Quadrupole N	15		Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): $y = 0.0154 x^2 + 189 x + -823 (r = 0.9988)$										
			- U		Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.00686 x^2 + 29.8 x + 136 (r = 0.9973)										
 Negative MRM 	cha	arge state of parent: -4	or -5		Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.0236 x^2 + 193 x + -443 (r = 0.9987)										
_					Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.00919 x^2 + 68.5 x + 86 (r = 0.9995)										
					Quadratic	Regre	ssion ("	'1 / (y '	* y)" weigł	nting): y =	0.0202 x	^2 + 173 x +	· -791 (r =	= 0.9992)	
 Working Range 		Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): $y = 0.0102 x^2 + 131 x + -63.1 (r = 0.9990)$													
• Signal to poise at 1100 > 110					Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): $y = 0.0262 x^2 + 91.9 x + 400 (r = 0.9972)$										
		Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): $y = 0.00991 x^2 + 33 x + 31.1$ (r = 0.9952)													
 Accuracy of lov 		Ought at a Regression ("1 / ($y \neq y$)" weighting): $y = 0.00306 x^{2} + 81.3 x + .250 (r = 0.0032)$													
-		Quadratic (Vertession (17 (y y)) weighting), $y = 0.000300 \times 2 \pm 01.0 \times \pm -209 (1 - 0.9932)$													
					Quadratic	Regre	551011 (т/(у	y) weigi	ning). y =	0.0000 X	Z + Z 10 X +	1.400+0	03 (I – U	.9907)

ASO, ssDNA, ssRNA, thioates up to ~25mers, longer run time for siRNA, dsDNA
 Mo limitations

charles river

• MS limitations – mass range and CID optimization

SPE OF OLIGOS

High-Throughput

Clarity[®] OTX[™] was designed with the fast-paced DMPK/ADME environment in mind. It is a simple, rapid, and reproducible solution that efficiently extracts oligos from biological matrices and can be easily automated to eliminate sample backlogs and meet critical deadlines. By eliminating the need for LLE (liquid-liquid extraction), providing a 96-well plate format for liquid handler compatibility, and specifically targeting synthetic oligo therapeutics chemistries, Clarity OTX delivers a 15-minute extraction procedure.

Suitable for Most Oligo Therapeutics & Samples

Oligo Types:	Sample Types:
DNA	Plasma
Aptamers	Serum
RNAi/siRNA	Urine
Thioates	Tears
Lipid-conjugates	Saliva
Liposome encapsulated	Tissue

SPE OF OLIGOS

Oasis Cartridges and 96-Well Plates

Product Description

The Oasis WAX 96-well plate contains the Oasis WAX sorbent, which is a polymeric reversed-phase, weak anion exchange mixedmode sorbent that has been optimized for fast, simple, and highly selective sample preparation of strong acidic compounds. The unique balance of hydrophobicity and water-wettability of the Oasis WAX sorbent means you will never have to worry about poor results if individual wells of the 96-well plate dry out during the critical steps prior to sample loading. The Oasis WAX 96-well plate is designed to be used on many manifold configurations and most robotic liquid handling systems.

Optimized sample preparation

The solid-phase extraction (SPE) of RM1 and the analog internal standard VA1 from human plasma was performed as follows. The SPE sorbent was Waters Oasis[®] WAX, 10 mg, a mixed-mode phase with cation exchange and reversed-phase moieties, in 96-well format. The 96-well 1 ml collection plates were regular inert polypropylene from Porvair (Wrexham, UK). Each step where liquid was applied was performed with the minimal aid of positive pressure to help percolation and passage of the liquid sample through the sorbent bed. The positive pressure manifold was from Agilent Technologies (DE, USA)

The analog internal standard VA1, in 1:1, v:v, acetonitrile:water, at 2500 nM, was added in 20 μ l aliquots to 100 μ l plasma within 1.5 ml regular polypropylene tubes. This resulted in an internal standard concentration of 500 nM in matrix. Then, a two-second vortex of each tube took place. This was followed by the addition of 225 μ l 4.5% H₃PO₄ (aq) to each sample and another vortex step.

An oligonucleotide bioanalytical LC–SRM methodology entirely liberated from ion-pairing

Robert MacNeill*, 1, Tisha Hutchinson 1, Vishva Acharya 1, Ryan Stromeyer 1 & Susan Ohorodnik 1

¹At time of publication: Covance – Bioanalysis, PO Box 2360 Mettlers Road, East Millstone, NJ 08875-2360, USA Note: At time of writing: Envigo CRS, PO Box 2360 Mettlers Road, East Millstone, NJ 08875-2360, USA *Author for correspondence: Tel.: + 1 732 873 2550; Fax: +1 732 873 3992; robert.macneill@covance.com

HT-LC-MS/MS OF OLIGOS

- 1 HILIC-NH₄OAc
- 2 RP-NH₄OAc
- 3 TRP BUFFERS



RP WITH NH₄OAc

NH₄OAc vs. Ion Pair agents



25 mM ammonium acetate buffer. Retention and peak capacity data are listed in Table 4. For separation conditions see Experiment 2 in Table 3.

Michael Donegan, Jennifer M. Nguyen, Martin Gilar (Waters), J. Chrom. A, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2022.462860



CALIBRATION

•

•

- GCTGCAGCCAGCTAGGTC (SVCT2 F) in HBSS, NH₄OAc in H₂O/MeCN, Hypersil Gold C18, 10*2.1mm, 3um
 - ADDA-6500+ 1373.71/1018.85 Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.0019 x^2 + 10.2 x + 33.2 (r = 0.9966) Quadratic Inverse Y^2 MRM (4-) ● Linear ○ Logarithmic Line Fit Data Points Excluded Points 11000-S/N 47.7 10500-LLOQ 39 nM 10000-Accuracy: 93.0-108.8 % 9500-CV%: 4.61 QC level 7 9000-S/N: 47.7 Accuracy: 93.5-105.0% 8500-CV%: 5.79 8000-7500-7000-6500-QC level 5 6000-Accuracy: 91.8-101.2% 5500-CV%: 4.87 5000-4500-4000-QC level 3 39-909 nM 3500-Accuracy: 95.3-106.0% 👢 3000-CV%: 5.31 2500-2000-1500-1000-500-475 500 525 530 575 600 625 650 675 700 725 750 775 800 825 850 875 900 925 950 275 300 325 350 375 400 425 450 + 💌 🖑 Concentratio



CALIBRATION (Inj.: 50 µL)

(pdT)₁₅ in HBSS, NH₄OAc in H₂O/MeCN, Hypersil Gold C18, 10*2.1mm, 3 μ m





HT-LC-MS/MS OF OLIGOS

- 1 HILIC
- 2 NH₄OAc
- 3 TRP BUFFERS



CALIBRATION (inj: 50 µL)

- GCTGCAGCCAGCTAGGTC (SVCT2 F) in BUFFER, NH₄OAc in H₂O/MeCN, Hypersil Gold C18, 10*2.1mm, 3 μ m
- ADDA-6500+ MRM (4-)
- 10-1,000 nM

Buffer	QC1 (37	7.3 nM)	QC2 (13	89 nM)	QC3 (518 nM)		QC3 (518 nM)		Analyte Quant
HBSS	99.70%	95.80%	91.80%	92.70%	94.10%	99.90%	Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.118 x^2 + 140 x + 96.2 (r = 0.9964)		
КН	88.00%	97.00%	89.70%	96.00%	100.80%	102.10%	Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.118 x^2 + 143 x + 904 (r = 0.9969)		
SM	111.50%	107.40%	100.50%	94.40%	96.10%	103.60%	Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.109 x^2 + 204 x + 559 (r = 0.9974)		
BSEP	108.30%	105.70%	107.80%	98.70%	98.00%	99.90%	Quadratic Regression ("1 / (y * y)" weighting): y = 0.159 x^2 + 44.6 x + 145 (r = 0.9928)		

HBSS: Hank's balanced salt solution KH: Krebs-Henseleit Buffer pH 7.4 SM: Start mix BSEP: Bile Salt Export Pump



Buffer TEST

1 µM 18mer (SVCT2), 100 nM (pdT)₁₅ IS

		TA/IS		Mean	Stdev	CV%
H2O	1.09	1.08	1.01	1.06	0.04	3.45%
HBSS	3.86	3.72	3.70	3.76	0.07	1.83%
KH	3.72	3.87	4.00	3.86	0.11	2.94%
SM	4.69	4.87	4.78	4.78	0.07	1.49%
BSEP	3.42	3.53	3.74	3.56	0.13	3.73%
		Peak Area		Mean	Stdev	RSD%
H2O	26337	32698	27822	28952	2717	9.38%
HBSS	25238	28471	28293	27334	1484	5.43%
KH	22378	24408	26135	24307	1535	6.32%
SM	20427	22305	24999	22577	1876	8.31%
BSEP	15352	21027	21544	19308	2805	14.53%

Uptake transporter assay with HT-LC-MS/MS





Nusinersen / Spinraza





- CALIBRATION

4.9e6

4.5e6

[2'-O-(2-methoxyethyl)](3'-5')(P-thio)(mU-mC-A-mC-mU-mU-mU-mC-A-mU-A-A-mU-G-mC-mU-G-G) in HBSS

- NH_4OAc in $H_2O/MeCN$, Hypersil Gold C18, 10*2.1mm, 3 μ m
 - ADDA-6500+ MRM (5-) : 1424.04/94.94 , 37-1000 nM



Max. 4.9e8 cp

1780.3

Summary

31



High-throughput quantitative measurement of 15-25 mer ssDNA from preclinical *in vitro* buffers with 1 minute cycle time without strong ion-pair reagents was performed applicable for small therapeutic ASOs.

Method	Matrix	Sample preparation	Benefits	Disadvantages		
IP-RP-MS	MIC	OE	GenericGreat separation	 Signal suppression Variability Contamination related MS down-time, maintenance, cleaning, long switch procedure Long acquisition HPLC column degradation 		
HILIC-MS	Water	SPE	Easy switch bw methodsFastGood separation	Matrix effect		
RP-MS	MIC	Simple	Low LLOQEasy switch bw methodsFast	LC selectivityds oligos separation		



Acknowledgement



