

Don't get hooked on homogenous assays (Avoid the Hook Effect in homogenous MSD assays)

9th YSS (11/12-May-2023)

Dr. Fabian Gaertner





- LBA assay requested to detect a specific analyte in human plasma utilizing a pair of antiidiotypic Fab fragments
- Aim: support pharmacokinetics in a dose escalation clinical trial
- \rightarrow Quick turnaround time necessary to provide data for safety assessment meetings





- Initial tests using a **sequential approach** indicated **poor signals** and the working assay range was not sensitive enough
 - 6 dosing levels are planned, and the assay should be able to at least generate values for the **first time points** for **all dosing levels**



 \rightarrow A homogenous approach was tested











































A homogenous approach was tested and generated much higher signals
 → additionally, a better sensitivity was achieved



• However, during assay range investigations ...



- ... a prominent hook effect was present
- Since various approaches to develop a sequential assay failed during method development (sensitivity)
- → solution driven changes of the assay procedure while maintaining the homogenous approach







- Measure all samples twice (with different dilutions) to pin-point on which "side" the sample was measured
- ightarrow Only deals with the symptoms
- Can it be solved utilizing dilutions / MRD approaches?
- → only dilutes the effect out of the assay range
- Increase the amount capture antibody
 → No effect



ECL Signal	ULOQ [200 μg/mL]	ALOQ_1 [1620 μg/mL]	ALOQ_2 [3240 μg/mL]
Homogen	40693	20058	10990
Homogen + increased Capture	35159	19108	11022







Bound to Plate

1

Detect

1





















• Calibration curve was prepared at MRD, two samples were tested at concentrations that were above the ULOQ of the assay

ECL Signal	ULOQ [200 μg/mL]	ALOQ_1 [1620 μg/mL]	ALOQ_2 [3240 μg/mL]
Homogen	40693	20058	10990
Homogen+2 nd Detection step	85773	91191	100410



Final Thoughts – maintain homogenous approach ?

• Would detection antibody in excess during the incubation of analyte, detection antibody and capture antibody have solved the issue as well ?



 Increases the probability, that two Sulfo-Tagged anti-ID Fab fragments bind to one analyte → no binding to the plate

> Final Thoughts – more reagents?

• Would increasing amount of capture AND detection reagents during the incubation of analyte, detection antibody and capture antibody have solved the issue as well ?



- Shifts the hook effect to higher concentrations and looses sensitivity
- Increases the probability, that two Biotin or two Sulfo-Tagged anti-ID Fab fragments bind to one analyte → binding to the plate, but no detection or no binding to the plate



- Adaption of the homogenous approach to a semi-homogenous assay with a secondary detection antibody solved the prominent hook effect
- Sensitivity of the assay was still maintained \rightarrow Assay was validated



CONFIDENTIAL



Any questions?

Feel free to ask!

Acknowledgement

Dr. Michaela Golob Dr. Uwe Kärcher Nuvisan, Department Immunoassays

From the molecule to the patient.

NUVISAN The Science CRO

NUVISAN

CONFIDENTIAL