

EBF Alpha to Omega Events The Omicron Workshop Points to Consider on Cut Points 28-29 April 2022 – in Cyberspace

28 April 2022		(all times are in CET = Brussels Time zone)
12:45	13:00	Getting started - Welcome
		Philip Timmerman - EBF
13:00	14:00	Session 1: Introduction to the meeting and problem statement
		Jo Goodman - on behalf of the EBF
		Robert Nelson - on behalf of the EBF
		Introduction presentation to introduce the items discussed at the meeting, includes but
		not limited to: Cut Points (CPs) – what and why; Difference from PK assays (CoU); In
		study vs. validation CP; Clinical relevance of CPs; regulatory challenges; the changing
		landscape on ADA and new modalities
14:00		Session 2: (Strategic) Thoughts to consider
14:00	14:20	Kyra Cowan, on behalf of the EBF
14.20	14:40	Increasing challenges: feedback from discussions in the EBF
14.20	14.40	Nicoline Videbæk, on behalf of the EBF NAb team Cut point considerations for Nab assays from the EBF
14:40	15:00	Devangi Mehta, Immunologix Laboratories
14.40	13.00	Beyond the Confirmatory Cut point – Reconsidering the value of the confirmatory tier
15:00	15:20	Heather Revell, Labcorp Drug Development
		Assessing specificity of ADA to multi-domain protein therapeutics
15:20	15:40	Panel discussion/ Q&A
15:40	16:00	Break
16:00	10.00	
		Session 3 - Case studies (including a short logistic break)
16:00		Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories
16:00	16:20	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination
16:00		Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron
16:00	16:20	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for
16:00 16:20	16:20 16:40	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type?
16:00 16:20	16:20	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC
16:00 16:20	16:20 16:40	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in
16:20 16:40	16:20 16:40 17:00	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC
16:20 16:40	16:20 16:40 17:00	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10	16:20 16:40 17:00	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10 17:30	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate Hisanori Hara, Novartis Pharma AG
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10 17:30	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30 17:50	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate Hisanori Hara, Novartis Pharma AG A case study of cut point evaluations at early stages of clinical studies and how to
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10 17:30 17:50	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30 17:50 18:10	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate Hisanori Hara, Novartis Pharma AG A case study of cut point evaluations at early stages of clinical studies and how to provide reliable interim ADA results
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10 17:30	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30 17:50	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate Hisanori Hara, Novartis Pharma AG A case study of cut point evaluations at early stages of clinical studies and how to provide reliable interim ADA results Mafalda dos Santos Marques Resende, Novo Nordisk
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10 17:30 17:50	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30 17:50 18:10	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate Hisanori Hara, Novartis Pharma AG A case study of cut point evaluations at early stages of clinical studies and how to provide reliable interim ADA results
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10 17:30 17:50	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30 17:50 18:10	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate Hisanori Hara, Novartis Pharma AG A case study of cut point evaluations at early stages of clinical studies and how to provide reliable interim ADA results Mafalda dos Santos Marques Resende, Novo Nordisk
16:00 16:20 16:40 17:10 17:30 17:50	16:20 16:40 17:00 17:30 17:50 18:10	Sebastien Boridy, Charles River Laboratories Overcoming challenges in experimental design for in-study cut-point determination Jenny Valentine, Regeneron Population Specific Cut Points in Oncology: Do We Really Need a Different Cut Point for Every Tumor Type? Matt Horsham, LGC Where do we draw the line? - Investigating low analytical cutpoints and the impact on in study cut points Brendy Van Butsel, Sanofi Applying in-study cut-points in a rare-disease setting Harley Williams (replacing Marleen Lutz), Celerion Switzerland AG Challenges in the detection of anti-Filgrastim antibodies facing a low false positive rate Hisanori Hara, Novartis Pharma AG A case study of cut point evaluations at early stages of clinical studies and how to provide reliable interim ADA results Mafalda dos Santos Marques Resende, Novo Nordisk In-study CP setting in NAb assays - a case study

13:00	14:40	Session 4: Cross validation, general considerations and case studies
13:00	13:20	Michaela Golob, on behalf of the EBF

13:20	13:40	introduction to the session and feedback from discussions in the EBF ADA team Alok Rathi, EMD Serono
		Cross-Validation of a Neutralizing Antibody Assay Across Global Sites For A Multi Domain Protein
13:40	14:00	Yang Xu, Merck Sharp & Dohme
		Experiences and Challenges in Evaluation of ADA Assay Performance with the Same ADA
14.00	14.20	Method Across Multiple Laboratories
14:00	14:20	Amy Lavelle, on behalf of the AAPS NABH (Neutralizing Antibody Harmonization) sub team
14:20	14:40	Harmonized Approach to Cross Validations of ADA and Nab Immunoassays Panel discussion
14:40	15:00	Break
15:00	16:00	Session 5 - Thinking outside the box-plot
15:00	15:20	Gregor Jordan, Roche Diagnostics GmbH
		Screening assay data transformation – can a Weibull transformation help to achieve the theoretical FPR?
15:20	15:40	George Walters, LGC
		Advantages and Challenges of Automation in Immunogenicity
15:40	16:00	Atiya Taqui, Gilead Sciences
16:00	16:20	Random and Fixed Effects Model for Cut Point Determination Daniel Baltrukonis, Pfizer, Inc.
10.00	10.20	Simplifying and Automating Anti-Drug Antibody Cut Point Determination
16:20	16:40	Panel discussion
16:40	17:00	Break
17:00	18:00	Session 6: Regulatory expectations and challenges, including ISI and closing panel
	. =	discussion
17:00	17:20	Johannes Stanta, on behalf of the EBF
17.20	17:40	Overview of regulatory expectations for cut points for pre-existing Ab Paul Chamberlain, Immunogenicity Integrated Platform
17.20	17.40	How to use the Integrated Summary of Immunogenicity (ISI) for effective communication
		of ADA assay cut point strategy and method life-cycle history to regulators
17:40	18:00	Close out panel discussion - summarising questions and discussions from the workshop
		and from questions submitted prior to the workshop
18:00		Adjourn