ᇨᅕᆒᆧᄱᆞᄬᆡᇳᇦᆡᄡᆣᇜᄻᆴᇥᄚᇏᆙᄡᄡᄢᄢᄳᇑᇶᇅᇹᆠᅐᅌᆣᆙᆥᅋᆘᅋᅸᄼᆙᇑᇰᆙᆖᆙᅎᆖᅣᄬᇄᇦᆙᆙᄵᄮᇛ ᇏᇍᆠᅌᆂᅕᇦᇢᅙᅌᇃᅊᅊᆙᇛᄚᅝᅸᅚᅕᇏᅌᅌᇓᄱᇨᅝᇛᅣᅕᆘᅆᇉᆊᆇᄷᆘᅌᆖᄫᇩᅀᆂᄱᆦᅙᆘᆙᅝᆂ᠈ᄲᇹᅣᇳᄱᇢᆙ ᇦᆙᆙᄵᇪᇋᠻᆘᆘᅀᆂᆈᇔᅜᄳᇰᆇᆮᇭᇊᇊᆥᇥᄚᇃᇗᄡᆘᇑᇪᅐᆘᅙᄷᇹᆙᆂᅜᅣᆘᆔᆔᄢᆮᅜᆅᇑᇚᆅᄿᆂᇏᇅᆎᆙᇃ



14th EBF Open Symposium Science – Our Universal Language

Applying Context of Use to qPCR Method Validation and Analysis: A Recommendation from the European Bioanalysis Forum

Anna Laurén, on behalf of the EBF

24-26 November 2021, Barcelona



- Aim is to share considerations on qPCR assay strategies from the EBF member companies
- Vision is to use a Scientific approach for qPCR in regulated studies
- Team members are a mix of experts on regulated BA and qPCR applications
- Both CRO and Pharma
- Formed in 2019





- Several surveys has been performed to harmonize current practices
- Presentations OS 2018, C> Training day 2020 and Virtual Workshop in 2021
- Publication in Bioanalysis: online: 28 October 2021

White Paper

For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@future-science.com



Applying context of use to quantitative polymerase chain reaction method validation and analysis: a recommendation from the European Bioanalysis Forum

Anna Laurén¹, Manuela Braun², Paul Byrne³, Chiara Cazzin⁴, Kelly Colletti⁵, Chris Cox⁶, Lisa Dietz⁷, Thomas Emrich⁸, Kristin Geddes⁹, Kate Herr¹⁰, Tracy Iles³, Alexandra Rogue¹¹, Yvan Verlinden¹² & Philip Timmerman^{*,13}

When is qPCR used in the bioanalytical laboratory?



EBF Many examples of qPCR applications





Guidelines?

Gene Therapy (GT)

A few GT guidelines present on biodistribution, long-term persistence, plasmid-DNA vaccines, and shedding etc.

Limited recommendation around qPCR assay characterization, validation and sample analysis.

Cell Therapy (CT)

A few CT guidelines present.

None give recommendation around qPCR assay characterization, validation and sample analysis

Gene expression

No guidelines present.

Assay is used as a biomarker assay. qPCR assay characterization, validation and sample analysis based on the intended use of the data.



Current GT guidelines and PCR requirements

ICH Considerations: general principles to address virus and vector shedding (2009) US FDA. Guidance for Industry: Design and Analysis of Shedding Studies for Virus or Bacteria-Based Gene Therapy and Oncolytic Products (2015)
Shedding assays are requested to be qualified and meet minimal performance capabilities. Sensitive, accurate, reproducible, specific and 'fit for purpose'.
Recommend to use of an interference control to exclude shedding underestimation due to inhibition of the qPCR reaction
Addition of a reference standard or an internal positive control to determine the extraction recovery is recommended

Scope of these guidelines: GT products



Addional GT guidelines PCR requirements

ICH DRAFT Guideline S12 on nonclinical biodistribution considerations for gene therapy products (2021)	US FDA. Guidance for Industry: Considerations for Plasmid DNA Vaccines for Infectious Disease Indications (2007)
Focus on characterization of assay in biofluids and tissue A minimal sensitivity value, is not given in this new draft ICH guideline	Include recommendation for a quantification of <100 copies of plasmid per μg of host DNA
More later in the session by Johannes Stanta	

Scope of these guidelines: GT products or Plasmid DNA vaccines



CoU and Biomarkers



qPCR considerations should be the same as for Biomarkers





Use a Scientific approach for qPCR assays



➢ One size will not fit all

- Current C> guidelines are written for specific scopes of cell, gene therapy or plasmid DNA vaccine products
- Bioanalytical method validation (BMV) guidelines were written for chromatographic methods (eg LC-MS) and ligand binding assays (LBA) and focus on Drug Product Exposure.
- EBF recommends applying Context-of-Use to each individual qPCR Method Validation and Sample Analysis



SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS: GT/CT TISSUE BIODISTRIBUTION/SHEDDING

Context of use

- Proof of exposure
- Biodistribution and persistance = ie as a proof of limited exposure to relevant organs
- Regulated
 requirement

Analyte and Calibrator Standard

- Drug as reference standard
- Synthetic DNA or RNA standard
- Plasmid DNA
- CoA required
- Virus for recovery assessment (if applicable)

Matrix

- High number of tissues, biofluids
- Risk of qPCR inhibition
- Not always all matrices available for validation
- Different LOD/LOQ in different tissues
- Precision variable between tissue
- Critical aspect is contamination from operator

Reporting

- Absolute quantification
- Normalized values for µg DNA/RNA
- Normalized values for amount processed sample
- Copy number/µg of species gDNA
- Cell copies/ µg of gDNA



SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS: GENE EXPRESSION APPLICATION

Context of use

- Gene expression e.g.:
 - safety parameter (i.e. tissue specific promoter in biodistribution studies
 - efficacy endpoints
- Genotyping for clinical study enrollment
- Genotyping for animal models
- Gene amplification (oncology)
- Methylation variation

Analyte and Calibrator standard

- Synthetic DNA or RNA standard
- Usually no reference materials

Matrix	
 Blood or biofluids Tissue Different expression in different tissues/biofluids Critical aspect purity: and yield of gDNA/RNA/DNA 	•

Reporting

- Relative quantification (fold change vs vehicle or control group, deltadelta Ct)
- Yes or no result
- Absolute
 quantification (rare)

PLAN THE SAMPLE ANALYSIS BEFORE VALIDATION SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

qPCR INHIBITION ASSESSMENT

EBF

- Regulatory requirement for GT: One of three samples from study sample spiked with STD, spiked sample shall be positive

Long Term Follow-Up After Administration of Human Gene Therapy Products

Guidance for Industry

Why?

- > qPCR inhibition is a well known phenomenon
- Due to risk of inhibiting factors extracted together with RNA/DNA
- In study assessment: Will show that negative result are not false negative due to qPCR inhibition

PLAN THE SAMPLE ANALYSIS BEFORE VALIDATION EBF RECOMMENDATION

qPCR INHIBITION ASSESSMENT

EBF

- Regulatory requirement for GT: One of three samples from study sample spiked with STD, spiked sample shall be positive
- Alternative A: qPCR inhibition assessed during validation with all tissues/biofluids?
- Alternative B: qPCR inhibition assessed during validation with most relevant tissues/biofluids? Rare tissue can follow A)
- Alternative C: assessment of a reference gene or exogenous spike (multiplex reaction)?
- Alternative D: Internal-controlled qPCR assay

- A carefully planned assay characterization will indicate need for In study assessment of assay performance
- Risk for qPCR inhibition may only be apparent in larger subject populations (ie In study)
- Reference gene/Internal control should be considered as part of assay characterization/validation

PLAN THE SAMPLE ANALYSIS BEFORE VALIDATION EBF RECOMMENDATION

qPCR INHIBITION ASSESSMENT

EBF

- Regulatory requirement for GT: One of three samples from study sample spiked with STD, spiked sample shall be positive
- Alternative A: qPCR inhibition assessed during validation with all tissues/biofluids?
- Alternative B: qPCR inhibition assessed during validation with most relevant tissues/biofluids? Rare tissue can follow A)
- Alternative C: assessment of a reference gene or exogenous spike (multiplex reaction)?
- Alternative D: Internal-controlled qPCR assay

- A carefully planned assay characterization will indicate need for In study assessment of assay performance
- Risk for qPCR inhibition may only be apparent in larger subject populations (ie In study)
- Reference gene/Internal control should be considered as part of assay characterization/validation





ISR/Parallelism and qPCR EBF RECOMMENDATION

- BMV focus on LC-MS and LBA
- Parallelism: Tissue/DNA/RNA amount and dilutional linearity is part of development/characterisation
- Absolute copy number not a critical aspect
- Not a real quantitative method for certain context of use

ISR not applicable for (RT-)qPCR

qPCR inhibition experiments when relevant will replace ISR/parallelism





METHOD VALIDATION PARAMETERS FOCUS on the qPCR reaction and include COU!

General experience for acceptance criteria on qPCR reaction from the EBF qPCR team

Accuracy Calibration Sensitivity and Curve Precision **Calibration Standards** Limit of **Detection/Quantification** Duplicate/Triplicate 6-10 levels •

- Linear regression Log Copies vs Ct response
- + & genomic DNA for dilution effects •
- ✓ Amplification efficiency 90-110%
- Dilution Linearity $R^2 \ge 0.98$ \checkmark

- LOD/LOQ = lowest detected concentration with $\geq 95\%$ consistency
- LLOQ = lowest concentration with acceptable accuracy and precision (A&P)

Quality Controls

- 3 sets, 5 levels, duplicate wells
- 6 occasions, 2 analysts

Accuracy:

- \pm 10% of nominal log copies
- ± 25-45% of nominal copies **Precision:**
- \leq 3% based on Ct values
- \leq 25-45% based on copies \checkmark

METHOD VALIDATION PARAMETERS ADDITIONAL VALIDATION PARAMETERS BASED ON CONTEXT OF USE

Recovery and Matrix Effect

Extraction/recovery efficiency Clinical:

All matrices

EBF

- Vector spike into fluids **Pre-clinical:**
- Selected matrices
- Plasmid spike into tissues
- Tissues frozen or homogenised

Matrix effect (spike after extraction)

Spiking Experiments

• 1-2 concentration levels

Stability

- 3 aliquots of matrix/level
- Long-term storage
 stability
- Freeze-thaw stability
- Biological matrix and DNA stability
- Complete sample processing workflow

Calculations

Vector copy per:

- µg total DNA/RNA
- mL of fluid
- mg of faeces

Normalise based on:

- Vol. of sample isolated
- Vol. of DNA/RNA eluted
- Vol. of DNA/RNA analysed
- Internal control/Reference
 gene
- Delta-delta Ct



SUMMARY

- qPCR is used for many different Context of Use
 - Variety of Cell and Gene Therapy aspects
 - Variety of Gene Expression aspects
- Vision of the EBF team is a science driven approach for using qPCR in regulated studies Context of Use
- The team have focused on aspects where harmonization can be done
- Communicate with stakeholders on Context of Use and pre-existing considerations
- qPCR Context of Use recommendations published
- Aim to publish additional experiences from EBF qPCR team



Acknowledgements

Current members of the team

- Manuela Braun Bayer
- Paul Byrne Labcorp Drug Development
- > Chiara Cazzin Aptuit Srl, an Evotec Company
- Kelly Colletti Charles River Laboratories
- Chris Cox PsiOxus Therapeutics
- Lisa Dietz Bayer
- > Thomas Emrich F Hoffmann La Roche
- Kristin Geddes Merck & Co
- Kate Herr Janssen BioTherapeutics
- Tracy Iles Labcorp Drug Development
- > Alexandra Rogue Charles River Laboratories
- > Yvan Verlinden Janssen BioTherapeutics
- Anna Laurén Novo Nordisk

Previous members of the team

EBF community



Contact Information

Questions: info@e-b-f.eu

