

Day 2 – Breakout 1 Hybrid Assays – Application 18 Nov 2020

Therapeutic/biomarker protein quantification in tissues: method development strategies to overcome sensitivity & selectivity issues using hybrid LBA-LCMS

Luca Ferrari

Bioanalytical R&D, pRED Pharmaceutical Sciences, Roche Innovation Center Basel - Switzerland





Outline

- Low-level therapeutic/biomarker protein quantification in tissues by LC-MS
- Sensitivity, selectivity and other analytical challenges
- A proposed reagent free, ultrasensitive quantification workflow
- Case studies

Low-level therapeutic/biomarker protein quantification in tissues by LC-MS



Ability to measure not only the drug, but also its target and/or biomarkers



Antigen expression level in different tissues (e.g., CD20, CD40, PD1, CEA...)



Biomarkers of drug effects, e.g. cell death or immune cell activation for cancer treatment





The sensitivity challenge

- low abundances of target analytes
- concentrations in tissues can be significantly lower than those found in serum.



The sensitivity on molar scale is much lower for mAb quantification than for small molecules.

The selectivity challenge



- complexity of tissue matrices
- heterogeneity of the analytes, which can be present in various forms.





Key factors affecting the sensitivity and selectivity of LM LC-MS methods in tissues



Sample preparation e.g. tissue perfusion, homogenization, extraction, digestion

Chromatography

e.g. use of selective stationary phases, particle size, column diameters and flow rate, use of 2D-LC

MS parameters

e.g. ionization efficiency, SRM transitions optimization, HRMS





Use of immune based enrichment methods to overcome selectivity and sensitivity issues

There are two types of affinity capture techniques:

- At protein level
- At peptide level

These are based on:

- immunoaffinity interactions with an immobilized target ligand/receptor or antibody
- affinity interactions with a generic binding protein, such as protein A/G or anti-Fc





Protein A, protein G enrichment



Interactions of Antibodies with Protein A, Protein G and Protein L

(courtesy of AAAAA, AHo's Amazing Atlas of Antibody Anatomy)

Species	Immunoglobulin	Binding to Protein A	Binding to Protein G
Human	IgG (normal)	++++	+++++
	lgG1	++++	++++
	lgG2	++++	++++
	lgG3	-	++++
	lgG4	++++	++++
	IgM	-	-
	IgA	-	-
	IgE	-	-
Mouse	lgG1	+	++++
	lgG2a	++++	++++
	lgG2b	+++	+++
	lgG3	++	+++
Rat	lgG1	-	+
	lgG2a	-	++++
	lgG2b	-	++
	lgG2c	+	++
Goat	IgG	+/-	++
Rabbit	IgG	++++	+++
Sheep	IgG	+/-	++

Data analysis

Roche

Development of a reagent free, ultrasensitive quantification workflow



Protein G enrichment – an example





- 1. Gently vortex or invert the beads to obtain a uniform suspension. Keep the suspension uniform when aliqotting beads.
- 2. Add 50µl of bead slurry to a 1.5ml microcentrifuge tube. Place in the magnetic stand for 10 seconds.
- 3. Remove and discard the storage buffer.
- 4. Add 500µl of bind/wash buffer. Mix and place in the magnetic stand for 10 seconds. Remove and discard the bind/wash buffer.
- 5. Combine 50µl of bind/wash buffer and 50µl of plasma or 1000µl tissue extract (extracted with PBS + 0.1% Formic acid, neutralized after extraction), then add to the equilibrated beads.
- 6. Mix sample for 60 minutes at room temperature. Make sure the beads remain in suspension by using a tube shaker or end-over-end mixer.
- 7. Place tube in the magnetic stand for 10 seconds. Remove the supernatant.
- 8. Wash beads by adding 250µl of bind/wash buffer and mix for 5 minutes. Place in the magnetic stand for 10 seconds. Remove and discard bind/wash buffer.
- 9. Repeat Step 8.
- 10. Add 100µl of elution buffer [100mM glycine-HCl (pH 2.7)] to the beads.
- 11. Mix for 5 minutes at room temperature.
- 12. Place tube in the magnetic stand for 10 seconds. Remove eluted sample, and transfer to a new microcentrifuge tube. This is the first elution.
- 13. Repeat elution Steps 10–12. Combine the eluent.
- 14. SOD digestion and analysis.

Courtesy of Promega

Surfactant-aided on-pellet digestion (SOD)



Surfactant facilitates pretreatment, more thoroughly denaturation and alkylation, which will increase the digestion efficiency;

Surfactant helps to cleanup matrix components and deactivates protease inhibitor, such as alpha-1-anti trypsin;

Works well with cells, tissues and plasma (high yields of membrane proteins).

Acetone precipitation:

- Take 10 µl tissue extract sample into the centrifuge tube, add 190 µl 1%SDS (or take 20 µl tissue extraction supernatant sample into the centrifuge tube, add 180 µl 1%SDS)
- Add 15 µl DTT solution; vortex and spin; incubate at 56 °C for 30 min;
- Add 30 µl IAM solution; vortex and spin; incubate at 37 °C for 30 min in darkness;
- Add 200 µl (1x) of chilled acetone (-20 °C), then vortex for 1 min then add 1000 µl (5x) of chilled acetone (-20 °C), vortex until the solution turned clear with pellet precipitation; Incubate at -20 °C for 3h;
- 5) Centrifuge samples to pellet protein at 20,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C; then remove the supernatant carefully;
- 6) Expose the samples in air for 3-5 min to evaporate acetone.

Digestion

- 1) Add 68 µl Tris-FA buffer (pH 8.5) (for trypsin) into the centrifuge tubes with protein pellet.
- Activate trypsin: Thaw trypsin stock solution (1mg/ml).
 Make a 1:4 dilution with Tris-FA buffer, vortex and spin.
- One step digestion: add 32 µl of activated trypsin to the vial, incubate 45min at 37 °C with vortex at 500 rpm in darkness.
- 4) Terminate digestion





Enrichment at peptide level

Off-line mixed-mode ion exchange solid phase extraction

Combining reversed-phase and ion-exchange retention mechanisms into a single protocol.



Selective trapping and delivery to improve sensitivity and selectivity





- 1. Improved **sensitivity** comparing with high-flow rate LC-MS;
- 2. Improved throughput comparing with nano LC-MS;
- 3. Improved capacity and robustness comparing with micro LC-MS



Rat PK study with Roche mAb

A PK study with a single intravenous injection of 10 mg/kg of Roche mAb to rats.

Calibration curve has been established over 0.1-200 μ g/g for the target mAb.

Qualification was done with QC samples at 0.2, 20, and 100 μ g/g.

The accuracy was within 89.7-107.8%, and the CV% was within 15% at all levels.



Selective trapping and delivery to improve sensitivity and selectivity



Representative T-µLC-MS chromatogram of a signature peptide in a blank tissue extract

Representative T-µLC-MS/MS chromatogram of a signature peptide in a tissue extract (100 ng/g protein analyte) Roch



Rat PK study with Roche mAb: effect of Protein G enrichment



Representative tissue homogenate sample – without IAC

Representative tissue homogenate sample – following Protein G enrichment



Rat PK study with Roche mAb: effect of MCX enrichment



Rat PK study with Roche mAb: combined effect of Protein G and MCX enrichment



Performance of current sequential Protein G and MCX-based enrichment procedure for SP of Roche mAb in plasma or tissue homogenate (conc.=50 ng/mL in plasma, 500ng/g in tissue)

Roche

PD protein biomarker quantification based on immuno affinity capture



Protein biomarker quantification by LC-MS

Roche

PD biomarker quantification in preclinical eye tissues



Luca Ferrari, 13th EBF OS, November 2020

Roche

REFERENCES



Ming Zhang, Bo An, Yang Qu, Shichen Shen, Wei Fu, Yuan-Ju Chen, Xue Wang, Rebeccah Young, John M. Canty, Joseph P. Balthasar, Keeley Murphy, Debadeep Bhattacharyya, Jonathan Josephs, Luca Ferrari, Shaolian Zhou, Surendra Bansal, Faye Vazvaei and Jun Qu Sensitive, High-Throughput, and Robust Trapping-Micro-LC-MS Strategy for the Quantification of Biomarkers and Antibody Biotherapeutics Anal. Chem. 2018, 90, 1870–1880

Shaolian Zhou, Faye Vazvaei, Luca Ferrari & Jun Qu Practical considerations in enhancing LC–MS sensitivity for therapeutic protein bioanalysis. Bioanalysis (2017) 9(18), 1353–1356

Bo An, Ming Zhang, Robert W. Johnson and Jun Qu

Surfactant-Aided Precipitation/on-Pellet-Digestion (SOD) Procedure Provides Robust and Rapid Sample Preparation for Reproducible, Accurate and Sensitive LC/MS Quantification of Therapeutic Protein in Plasma and Tissues| Anal. Chem. 2015, 87, 4023–4029

Wei Fu, Bo An, Xue Wang & Jun Qu Key considerations for LC–MS analysis of protein biotherapeutics in tissues Bioanalysis (2017) 9(18), 1349–1352

Rand Jenkins, Jeffrey X. Duggan, Anne-Françoise Aubry, Jianing Zeng, Jean W. Lee, Laura Cojocaru, Dawn Dufield, Fabio Garofolo, Surinder Kaur, Gary A. Schultz, Keyang Xu, Ziping Yang, John Yu, Yan J. Zhang, and Faye Vazvaei The AAPS Journal, Vol. 17, No. 1, January 2015 (2014)

John Kellie, Matthew Szapacs Quantitative immunocapture MS: current status and challenges in drug discovery Bioanalysis (2014) 6(18), 2335–2337

Daniel Wilffert, Rainer Bischoff & Nico C van de Merbel Antibody-free workflows for protein quantification by LC–MS/MS Bioanalysis (2015) 7(6), 763–779



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

• Pharmaceutical Sciences, SUNY-Buffalo

Jun Qu Bo An

F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, pRED Pharmaceutical Sciences

 Denis Herzog
 Faye Vazvaei
 Tom Dunkley
 Roland Staack
 Julia Heinrich

Thomas Singer



Q&A

Luca Ferrari, 13th EBF OS, November 2020



Doing now what patients need next